

# **Middle and High School Reading Achievement: A School-Wide Approach**

Joan Sedita, M.Ed. October, 2004

An effective, school-wide model for teaching reading should include a two-prong approach: a plan for providing reading instruction to good readers (close to grade level or above), and a plan for providing reading instruction to struggling readers. Reading instruction for good readers should be delivered in regular content classes (including history, English/language arts, science, math). Reading instruction for struggling readers should be delivered partly in regular content classes, and partly in intervention settings (including extended English/language arts blocks, and individual/small group settings).

A school-wide approach to reading instruction must involve all teachers in the development of the model and delivery of reading instruction (including regular content teachers and staff who work with special populations). It must also have strong, committed leadership that provides ongoing support and guidance for reading instruction.

There is no single explanation for why some students have difficulty reading beyond grade five. Although adolescent reading problems are sometimes attributed to lack of study, motivation or attention, research in reading and literacy has shown that these issues are often secondary consequences of underlying problems, not the primary causes of poor reading (Peterson et al, 2000; Moats, 2001). In most cases, struggling readers have difficulty in one or more of the following:

- Word recognition and decoding skills (i.e., phonemic awareness, phonics, and/or fluency)
- Language processing ability at the word, sentence, or discourse level
- Vocabulary development
- Life experience, background knowledge of the reading topic
- Awareness of one's own comprehension processes (metacognition)
- Comprehension and study strategies

## **The Need for Assessment**

The only way to determine the cause of an individual student's reading difficulty is through diagnostic assessment. Annual, reading assessments should be administered to all students. The assessments used for this purpose can be group-administered, but should yield more than a simple grade-level score. They should provide a first-round indication of individual ability in specific components of reading (e.g., word identification, vocabulary, listening and reading comprehension, comprehension strategy skills). Based on the results of this initial assessment, two categories of readers can be identified: good readers and struggling readers.

Annual formal assessment of good readers is sufficient, coupled with the informal assessment information that content teachers gather while teaching students during the

school year. Struggling readers, however, require a second round of assessment that will yield specific diagnostic information about individual reading difficulties. The best assessments for this purpose can be group and/or individually administered, but they should be chosen because they yield the best diagnostic information. The results from this assessment should drive instruction and determine specific reading instruction interventions for specific students. On-going assessment (formal and/or informal) is important throughout the school year to monitor progress and adjust intervention plans.

## **Settings for Reading Instruction**

The attached graphic organizer titled “Middle/High School Comprehensive Reading Approach” illustrates a two-prong model for addressing the needs of good and struggling readers. As the chart indicates, general reading assessment identifies good and struggling readers. An arrow connects good readers to the top row (Reading Instruction: All Students). The setting for this reading instruction is regular content classes, taught by content teachers.

For struggling readers, there are two arrows. One arrow connects them to the top row where they will receive the same reading instruction as good readers, in a classroom setting that incorporates differentiated instruction and scaffolding to meet their specific needs. Inclusion teachers or paraprofessionals may be assigned to these content classes to assist in providing differentiated instruction. The second arrow connects them to the bottom row (Intervention Programs: Struggling Readers). These students will receive a variety of intervention reading instruction based on their individual needs. Some students may need instruction in basic phonemic awareness or decoding skills. Some may need specific practice to develop fluency skills. Some may require instruction in language structures or vocabulary development, while others may need more practice with comprehension strategy instruction then is offered in regular content classes. The teachers providing intervention instruction and supplemental reading programs can be reading specialists, special education teachers, Title I teachers, and/or paraprofessionals. The intervention methods and materials may include specific supplemental reading programs, targeted intervention instruction, and/or reading intervention software.

Middle and high school administrators must make the acquisition of reading skills a priority and provide adequate time in the school schedule for reading instruction. They must also be willing to use flexible grouping patterns when scheduling students in order to implement a two-prong model for delivering reading instruction in both content classes and intervention settings.

## **Professional Development for All Teachers**

To quote Louisa Moats, “Teaching reading IS rocket science” (Moats, 1999). One of three major findings of the National Reading Panel on reading comprehension was that teaching reading comprehension strategies to students at all grade levels is complex. Teachers must not only have a firm grasp of the content presented in text, but also must have substantial knowledge of the strategies themselves, of which strategies are most

effective for different students and types of content and of how best to teach and model strategy use. Research indicates that teachers require extensive formal instruction in how to teach reading comprehension, preferably beginning as early as preservice (National Reading Panel, 2000). For many middle and high school content teachers who did not receive this instruction before they became teachers, the only way to receive this training is through current professional development.

## **Professional Development for Reading Intervention Teachers**

Reading intervention teachers are responsible for evaluating assessment data, determining individual student service plans, identifying and choosing appropriate supplemental reading programs and intervention models, and monitoring student progress IN ADDITION to providing reading instruction. They must juggle these tasks within the context of middle and high school scheduling constraints and often with limited support staff. These teachers need a great deal of on-going professional development to keep up with all of the assessments, methods and materials, and supplemental programs that are available for struggling readers. They also need school-wide support and communication to help carry over what they are teaching into regular content classes.

## **A Complex, Long-Term Effort**

There is no simple, one-size-fits-all model for improving reading achievement in middle and high schools. Each school has its own unique combination of administrators, teaching staff, student needs, community involvement, and resources that must be considered before a school-wide approach to reading instruction can be developed.

A middle or high school must first determine the current status of reading needs and instruction at the school:

- What assessments are currently used to identify good and struggling readers?
- What assessments are used to identify specific needs of individual struggling readers? What reading instruction is already taking place in content classrooms, and what professional development do content teachers need to effectively address all reading components?
- What reading interventions and supplemental reading programs are currently offered for struggling readers?
- What information and professional development do the teachers of struggling readers need?
- Is the scheduling process flexible enough to accommodate different grouping patterns for struggling readers?

As these questions suggest, a school must be willing to put the time into identifying what is already being done and what should be changed in order to more successfully improve student reading ability. Schools should establish a “Reading Evaluation Team” including administrators, content classroom teachers, and teachers who work with struggling readers. This team should coordinate the school-wide effort to

assess current practices and gather input from everyone involved about what they think is needed in order to address the reading needs of their students.

The Reading Team can also coordinate the gathering of information about the following topics:

- What group and individual reading assessments are available, and which assessments would best meet the needs of our school?
- What support and professional development do our content classroom teachers need in order to incorporate vocabulary, comprehension strategy, and study skill instruction into existing curriculum?
- What support and professional development do content classroom teachers need in order to differentiate and scaffold their instruction to meet the needs of a wide variety of readers?
- What support and professional development do the teachers who work with struggling readers need in order to use assessment data, determine individual student reading needs, and schedule intervention instruction time?
- What knowledge and professional development do the teachers and paraprofessionals need about supplemental reading programs, intervention methods, and computer assisted software?


Once a school has answered these questions, it can identify appropriate components for a school-wide reading instruction model. The school must then prioritize each component, develop a time-table for addressing each component, and determine what resources are available to support each component. It is important to remember that assessments, professional development, and the purchase of instructional material are all interdependent. For example, an assessment plan will not do much good if teachers do not know what to do with the diagnostic information that assessment provides. Intervention instruction in comprehension, vocabulary or decoding skills will not be successful if content teachers do not also integrate that instruction into content classrooms. Teachers working with struggling readers may learn how to identify individual student needs, but they cannot successfully teach if the school has purchased inappropriate supplemental reading programs or materials.

## **A Challenge Worth Taking**

The time, effort, and expertise necessary to develop a school-wide plan for providing effective reading instruction to all students present a challenge for most middle and high schools. The challenge is worth taking – there is an urgent need to improve the reading, writing and comprehension skills of middle and high school students. The most recent National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) exams shows that 25 percent of eighth graders and 26 percent of twelfth graders in our country were reading at “below basic” levels in 2002; international comparisons of reading performance placed American eleventh graders very close to the bottom, behind students from the Philippines, Indonesia, Brazil and other developing nations. There are approximately 8.7 million fourth through twelfth graders in America whose chances for academic success

are dismal because they are unable to read and comprehend the material in their textbooks. Furthermore, only 70 percent of the children who enter eighth grade actually graduate from high school, and in many urban areas, only 50 percent of students will receive a high school diploma. (Kamil, 2004).

Great attention has been paid over the past several years to increasing childhood education opportunities and reaching the national goal of making sure every child can read by the third grade. Some people believe that targeting early elementary reading has used limited resources at the expense of older students. The good news is that attention is beginning to focus on the reading needs of students in upper grades, and state and federal funding for adolescent literacy appears to be increasing. In the next several years, there will be more support for developing school-wide middle and high school reading initiatives. (Joftus, 2002; Rombeck, 2004; Florida Department of Education, 2004). We now also know more than ever before about effective literacy instruction for older students. The time is right, and the challenge of developing a school-wide approach for improving reading instruction is now!



## About the Author

Joan is the founding partner of **Keys to Literacy** and author of *The Key Three Routine: Comprehension Strategies*. Joan is an experienced educator and nationally recognized teacher trainer. Joan worked at the Landmark School in Massachusetts for 23 years as a teacher, supervisor and principal. She was also founder of the Landmark College Preparation Program, and director of the Landmark Outreach Program. Joan was one of three Lead Trainers in MA for the NCLB Reading First Program. She is also a National LETRS author and trainer, a member of the Praxis National Reading Advisory Board, and an adjunct instructor at Fitchburg State. She received her M.Ed. in Reading from Harvard University and her B.A. from Boston College. Joan has authored a number of books, including *The Landmark Study Skills Guide*, *LETRS Module 11 – Writing: A Road to Reading Comprehension*, and *Active Learning Study Strategies: Using Kurzweil 3000*.

**Additional information about publications, training, and resources can be found by visiting [www.keystoliteracy.com](http://www.keystoliteracy.com)**

## References

Florida Department of Education (2004). *Governor Bush signs middle school reform legislation: Just Read, Florida! grants provide \$16.7 million in additional resources for middle schools*. Florida DOE press release, May 27.

Joftus, S. (2002). *Every child a graduate: A framework for an excellent education for all middle and high schools*. Alliance for Excellent Education, [www.all4ed.org](http://www.all4ed.org)

Kamil, M.L. (2004). *Adolescents and literacy: Reading for the 21<sup>st</sup> century*. Alliance for Excellent Education, [www.all4ed.org](http://www.all4ed.org)

Moats, L. (1999). *Teaching reading is rocket science*. American Federation of Teachers.

Moats, L. (2001). *When older kids can't read*. Education Leadership, March 2001.

Moats, L. & Sedita, J. (2004). *LETRS Module 11: Writing: A road to reading comprehension*. Longmont, CO: Sopris West.

Moats, L. (2004). *LETRS Module 12: Using assessment to guide instruction*. Longmont, CO: Sopris West.

National Reading Panel (2000). *Report of the National Reading Panel: Teaching children to read, an evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

Peterson, C.L.; Caverly, D.C.; Nicholson, S.A.; O'Neil, S.; & Cusenbary, S. (2000). *Building reading proficiency at the secondary level*. Austin, TX: Southwest Educational Development Laboratory.

Rombeck, T. (2004). *\$1.3 million grant to boost reading skills*. Lawrence, KS: World Journal Newspaper, July 15.

Sedita, J. (2003, 2008). *The Key Three Routine: Comprehension Strategy Instruction*. Danvers, MA: Keys to Literacy.